Nos. 14-46, 14-47, 14-49

In The
Supreme Court of the United States

MICHIGAN, et al.,

Petitioners,

v.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.

UTILITY AIR REGULATORY GROUP,

Petitioner,

v.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.

NATIONAL MINING ASSOCIATION,

Petitioner,

v.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, et al.

On Writs Of Certiorari To The United States Court Of Appeals For The District Of Columbia Circuit

BRIEF OF THE AMERICAN THORACIC SOCIETY AS *AMICUS CURIAE* IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

ADAM BABICH
Counsel of Record
TULANE ENVIRONMENTAL LAW CLINIC
TULANE LAW SCHOOL
6329 Freret Street
New Orleans, LA 70118
(504) 865-5789
ababich@tulane.edu
Counsel for Amicus Curiae American Thoracic Society

COCKLE LEGAL BRIEFS (800) 225-6964
WWW.COCKLELEGALBRIEFS.COM

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

STATUTES AND LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

FEDERAL REGISTER

OTHER SOURCES

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES – Continued

Page

GLOSSARY

COPD	Chronic Obstructive Pulmonary Disease
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency
MATS	Mercury and Air Toxics Standards
$\mu g/m^3$	Micrograms per cubic meter
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NO_x	Nitrogen Oxides
NO_2	Nitrogen Dioxide
PM	Particulate Matter
$PM_{2.5}$	Fine PM: PM less than or equal to 2.5 microns in diameter
SO_2	Sulfur Dioxide

INTEREST OF *AMICUS CURIAE*[1]

The American Thoracic Society is an international, nonprofit, nonpartisan organization with more than 15,000 members dedicated to improving the health and wellbeing of patients suffering from respiratory related diseases through research, clinical care, and advocacy for cleaner air. The Society participated as an *amicus* in the cases under review – No. 12-1100 and consolidated cases – before the D.C. Circuit. The Society's members are concerned that the medical and scientific literature strongly establishes the need to control the emissions at issue in the rule before this Court. Emissions from coal- and oil-fired power plants cause and contribute to avoidable death and disease in exposed populations and their reduction will have measurable public health benefits. The Society intends its participation to show that the scientific literature demonstrates that the harms from exposure to power plant emissions are serious and, in the context of those harms, it was reasonable for EPA to not consider costs when making the threshold decision to include electric utility steam generating

[1] Pursuant to this Court's Rule 37.3(a), the 10-day notice requirement of Rule 37.2(a) does not apply. Counsel for petitioners and respondents have consented to the filing of this brief and their written consent has been lodged with the Court. Pursuant to this Court's Rule 37.6, *amicus* states that this brief was not authored in whole or in part by counsel for any party and that no person or entity other than *amicus* or its counsel made a monetary contribution intended to fund the preparation or submission of this brief.

units among the categories of industrial sources required to control emissions of hazardous air pollutants.

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Amicus curiae submits this brief to assist the Court in understanding the reach and immanency of the significant public health risks from hazardous air pollutant and associated emissions from power plants, the regulation of which is at issue in this case. In the context of these risks, EPA reasonably interpreted the language in Clean Air Act § 112(n)(1)(A), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(n)(1)(A) (2012) as a Congressional grant of discretion to consider costs or not when deciding whether to include electric utility steam generating units among the categories of sources required to control emissions of hazardous air pollutants.

Public health risks caused by hazardous air pollutant and associated emissions from power plants include premature death, disease, abnormal brain and lung development in children, increased hospitalization and medication requirements, and lost workdays. Constituents of these emissions travel globally. Power plants emit acid gas, metals including mercury, lead, arsenic, cadmium, nickel, and chromium, and particulate matter that can penetrate deep into human lungs. All humans are susceptible to adverse health effects from these emissions, but pregnant

women, fetuses, infants, children, elderly people, and people with preexisting health conditions are especially vulnerable.

Amicus curiae American Thoracic Society supports EPA's efforts to protect the public from health problems arising from hazardous air pollutants and associated emissions from power plants, including birth defects, disease, and premature death. EPA's regulation of these emissions is urgently needed, and necessary to protect public health. Accordingly, *amicus* American Thoracic Society urges this Court to affirm the D.C. Circuit's decision.

ARGUMENT

I. EPA REASONABLY OMITTED COST CONSIDERATIONS IN ITS DETERMINATION THAT IT IS APPROPRIATE TO REGULATE HAZARDOUS AIR POLLUTANTS EMITTED BY PUBLIC ELECTRIC UTILITIES.

In light of the serious health concerns that hazardous air pollutants raise – and in the context of the wide range of approaches to cost considerations that Congress has treated as reasonable under the Act – EPA reasonably interpreted the phrase "appropriate and necessary" as a Congressional grant of discretion to not consider costs when deciding whether to include electric utility steam generating units (i.e., power plants) among the categories of sources required to control emissions of hazardous air pollutants.

Clean Air Act § 112(n)(1)(A) contains no specific requirement that EPA consider costs in this context; instead, it authorizes the agency to regulate – or not – based on a finding of "appropriate and necessary." Clean Air Act § 112(n)(1)(A), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(n)(1)(A) (2012). Congress knew the words to use when it wished to specify that costs be considered. *See* Clean Air Act § 112(d)(2), 42 U.S.C. § 7412(d)(2) (2012) ("Emissions standards promulgated under this subsection and applicable to new or existing sources of hazardous air pollutants shall require the maximum degree of reduction in emissions of the hazardous air pollutants subject to this section . . . that the Administrator, taking into consideration the cost of achieving such emission reduction, [*inter alia*] determines is achievable for new or existing sources in the category or subcategory to which such emission standard applies."). Congress' decision here, to instead use broad language to govern EPA's decision, is functionally identical to the "silence" at issue in *Entergy Corp. v. Riverkeeper, Inc.*, 556 U.S. 208, 222, 129 S. Ct. 1498, 1508, 173 L. Ed. 2d 369, 382 (2009). There, this Court held it to be "eminently reasonable" to interpret congressional silence as "nothing more than a refusal to tie the agency's hands as to whether cost-benefit analysis should be used, and if so to what degree." *Id.*

Congress enacted the 1990 amendments to the Clean Air Act "against the background"[2] of high-profile

[2] *See Food & Drug Admin. v. Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp.*, 529 U.S. 120, 155-56, 120 S. Ct. 1291, 1313, 146 L. Ed. 2d

(Continued on following page)

D.C. Circuit precedent that "economic considerations play no part in the promulgation of ambient air quality standards" under a key provision of the Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7409(a). *Lead Indus. Ass'n, Inc. v. Envtl. Prot. Agency,* 647 F.2d 1130, 1148 (D.C. Cir.), *cert. denied*, 49 U.S. 1042, 101 S. Ct. 621, 66 L. Ed. 2d 503 (1980).[3] Congress therefore knew when enacting Clean Air Act § 112(n)(1)(A) that an ambiguous phrase such as "appropriate and necessary" would not create a requirement for EPA to consider costs when deciding whether to include power plants among the categories of sources that must control emissions of hazardous air pollutants.[4]

It is not *per se* unreasonable for EPA to make regulatory decisions under the Act without considering costs, given this Court's holding in *Whitman v. American Trucking Ass'ns* that Clean Air Act § 109(b), "unambiguously bars cost considerations from the

---

121, 156 (2000) (considering the backdrop against which Congress enacted tobacco-specific statutes).

[3] This interpretation prevailed notwithstanding Congress' provision for development during the decision-making process of "data relating to the cost of installation and operation [of air pollution control techniques]." *See Whitman v. Am. Trucking Ass'ns*, 531 U.S. 457, 469, 121 S. Ct. 903, 910, 149 L. Ed. 2d 1, 14 (2001) (quoting Clean Air Act § 108(b)(1), 42 U.S.C. § 7408(b)(1) (2000)).

[4] *Cf. id.* at 468, 121 S. Ct. at 909, 149 L. Ed. 2d at 13 (2001) (ruling consistently with *Lead Indus. Ass'n* because, *inter alia*, of the lack of clear "textual commitment of authority to the EPA to consider costs [in provisions that] are the engine that drives nearly all of Title I of the CAA").

NAAQS-setting process." 531 U.S. 457, 471, 121 S. Ct. 903, 911, 149 L. Ed. 2d 1, 15 (2001). Here, as in § 109(b), 42 U.S.C. § 7409(b) (2012), the bottom-line regulatory purpose is protection of public health.

The reasonableness of EPA's decision, therefore, is best appreciated in the context of the significant public health risks of regulatory inaction. The balance of this brief, therefore, presents information about the risks to public health that will be ongoing if EPA regulation of hazardous air pollutant and associated emissions from power plants is foreclosed or delayed. These risks to public health were a factor in EPA's conclusion – pursuant to Executive Order 12,866, 58 Fed. Reg. 51,735 (Oct. 4, 1993) – that the total monetized benefits of the decision at issue outweigh the social costs. Preamble to Final Rule, 77 Fed. Reg. 9304, 9305-06 & tbl. 2 (Feb. 16, 2012). Granted, many of EPA's calculated risk reduction benefits ($37 to $90 billion) flow from what D.C. Circuit Judge Kavanaugh characterized as "indirect benefits of reducing $PM_{2.5}$." *White Stallion Energy Ctr., LLC v. EPA*, 748 F.3d 1222, 1263 (D.C. Cir. 2014) (Kavanaugh, J., concurring in part and dissenting in part). From a public health perspective, however, the importance of saving lives and reducing disease is not affected by whether risk reduction is direct or "indirect."

II. COAL- AND OIL-FIRED POWER PLANT EMISSIONS INCREASE RISKS OF DEATH AND DISEASE.

Coal- and oil-fired power plants ("power plants") emit pollutants that endanger the lives and health of U.S. citizens, including dioxins, formaldehyde, radium, and benzene, acid gases, metals, and other hazardous pollutants. These emissions include complex mixtures of hazardous substances such as acid gases, carcinogenic toxins, mercury and other metals, and airborne particles. Power plant emissions contain at least 84 separate air pollutants.[5] Further, the emitted vapors contribute to the formation of other toxic gases in the atmosphere. These emissions have both local and long-range impacts, as pollutants are carried throughout the country. Impacts include premature death, disease, abnormal brain and lung development in children, increased hospitalization and medication requirements, and lost workdays. As shown below, the medical and scientific literature strongly establishes the need to control these emissions to protect human health and the environment.

---

[5] *2002 National Emissions Inventory Data & Documentation, ALLNEI_HAP_Annual_01232008*, EPA (2007), *available at* http://www.epa.gov/ttn/chief/net/2002inventory.html#inventorydata.

A. Acid Gases from Power Plants Damage Human Health.

Power plants are the largest anthropogenic source of acid gas emissions (hydrofluoric and hydrochloric acid).[6] Even at trace levels highly corrosive and water-soluble acid gases can cause irritation and tissue damage to eyes, skin, and lungs. Inhalation of acids can cause irritation and constriction of asthmatic airways.[7] Continued exposure may contribute to development of chronic airway diseases including bronchitis, asthma, and reactive airway dysfunction syndrome.[8]

---

[6] *Id.*

[7] J.M. Fine et al., *The role of titratable acidity in acid aerosol-induced bronchoconstriction*, 135 Am. Review of Respiratory Disease 826 (1987), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/3551704 (abstract); H.C. Francis et al., *Defining and investigating occupational asthma: a consensus approach,* 64 Occupational & Envtl. Med. 361 (2007), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2078517/pdf/361.pdf.

[8] S. Quirce & P. Barranco, *Cleaning Agents and Asthma*, 20 J. Investigational Allergology & Clinical Immunology 542 (2010), *available at* http://www.jiaci.org/issues/vol20issue7/1.pdf; George D. Leikauf, *Hazardous Air Pollutants and Asthma,* 110 Envtl. Health Perspectives 505 (2002), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1241200/pdf/ehp110s-000505.pdf/; M. Medina-Ramon et al., *Asthma, chronic bronchitis, and exposure to irritant agents in occupational domestic cleaning: a nested case-control study,* 62 Occupational & Envtl. Med. 598 (2005), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1741089/pdf/v062p00598.pdf; M.S. Shakeri et al., *Which agents cause reactive airways dysfunction syndrome (RADS)? A systematic review*, 58 Occupational Med. (Lond.) 205 (2008), *available at* http://occmed.oxfordjournals.org/content/58/3/205.full.pdf.

Hydrofluoric acid – one of the main acid gases in power plant emissions – is corrosive to the human respiratory tract and can cause severe disease.[9] Hydrogen chloride, another major acid gas emitted from power plants, rapidly converts to hydrochloric acid in the atmosphere and causes irritation and constriction of asthmatic airways.[10] The United Kingdom's Health Protection Agency reviewed the toxicology of hydrochloric acid/hydrogen chloride in 2007 and reported that acute exposure causes respiratory irritation, while chronic or repeated lower exposures cause lung function deficits and bronchial inflammation.[11]

Emission of nitrogen and sulfur-based gases from power plants contributes to formation of other strong acids in the atmosphere, including nitric acid and sulfuric acid. Susceptible populations include the young, the elderly, and those with preexisting diseases like chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and

---

[9] S. Skolnik, *Acute inhalation exposure to hydrogen fluoride*, 7 J. Occupational Envtl. Hygiene D31 (2010), *available at* http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/pdf/10.1080/15459621003741789; L. Tsonis et al., *Hydrofluoric acid inhalation injury*, 29 J. Burn Care & Research 852 (2008), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/18695605 (abstract).

[10] Fine et al., *supra* note 7.

[11] S. Bull, *Hydrogen chloride/hydrochloric acid Toxicological Overview, Version 1*, Chem. Hazards & Poisons Division Headquarters, UK Health Prot. Agency (2007), *available at* https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/337689/hpa_hydrogen_chloride_toxicological_overview_v1.pdf.

asthma.[12] Exposure of healthy young adults to NO_2 and oxides of nitrogen is associated with acute airway inflammation and reduced lung function.[13] Further reductions in emissions of sulfur dioxide and nitrogen oxides would substantially benefit both human health and the environment.[14]

B. Mercury from Power Plants Harms Human Health.

Coal- and oil-fired electric power plants are the largest source of anthropogenic mercury emissions in the United States.[15] Mercury emissions come in various forms, such as particulate-bound mercury and mercury in elemental or ionized forms. Microorganisms can convert ionized mercury into an organic form called methylmercury. While all chemical forms of mercury are extremely toxic to all cells in the

---

[12] A. Faustini et al., *Short-term effects of air pollution in a cohort of patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease*, 23 Epidemiology 861 (2012), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23018970 (abstract).

[13] Maciej Strak et al., *Respiratory Health Effects of Airborne Particulate Matter: The Role of Particle Size, Composition, and Oxidative Potential – The RAPTES Project*, 120 Envtl. Health Perspectives 1183 (2012), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3440077/pdf/ehp.1104389.pdf.

[14] Lauraine G. Chestnut & David M. Mills, *A fresh look at the benefits and costs of the US acid rain program*, 77 J. Envtl. Mgmt. 252 (2005), *available at* http://www.epa.gov/airmarkets/resource/docs/bandcofarp.pdf.

[15] *Mercury Study Report to Congress,* EPA (Dec. 1997), *available at* http://www.epa.gov/hg/report.htm.

human body,[16] methylmercury is a potent neurotoxin.[17] Once emitted, mercury returns to the earth in rain and snow – contaminating land and water. Elemental mercury persists in the atmosphere for up to two years and transports globally.[18] Several studies from eastern Ohio have found that nearby coal-fired power plants contribute as much as a 72% increase in mercury levels in local rainfall.[19]

---

[16] *Global Mercury Assessment*, United Nations Env't Programme (2002), *available at* http://www.chem.unep.ch/mercury/report/Final%20report/final-assessment-report-25nov02.pdf.

[17] *Human Health*, EPA, http://www.epa.gov/mercury/health.htm (last visited Feb. 16, 2015).

[18] Noelle E. Selin et al., *Sources of Mercury Exposure for U.S. Seafood Consumers: Implications for Policy*, 118 Envtl. Health Perspectives 137, 138 (2010), *available at* http://dspace.mit.edu/openaccess-disseminate/1721.1/70492.

[19] Gerald J. Keeler et al., *Sources of Mercury Wet Deposition in Eastern Ohio, USA*, 40 Envtl. Sci. & Tech. 5874, 5879 (2006), *available at* http://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CCAQFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.researchgate.net%2Fprofile%2FMatthew_Landis%2Fpublication%2F6743580_Sources_of_mercury_wet_deposition_in_Eastern_Ohio_USA%2Flinks%2F09e4150f6b7465a489000000.pdf&ei=ysriVJa9DMipgwSMooKYBA&usg=AFQjCNHM4zz53mg97fstxQWT7SNmmQSZUw&sig2=ypNVQSBJWtZYzvPEMMmXPQ&bvm=bv.85970519,d.eXY; Emily M. White et al., *Spatial variability of mercury wet deposition in eastern Ohio: summertime meteorological case study analysis of local source influences*, 43 Envtl. Sci. & Tech. 4946 (2009), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19673290 (abstract).

Methylmercury bio-accumulates through the food chain, especially in fish.[20] High to moderate doses of methylmercury can cause debilitating health effects and, because methylmercury targets the nervous system and brain, damage from even low doses of methylmercury can persist over a lifetime.[21] Even very low-level methylmercury exposures in adults who consume contaminated fish can result in sub-clinical neurobehavioral abnormalities.[22]

Significant decreases in psycho-motor coordination have been found in consumers of fish.[23] All forms

---

[20] Shigeo Ekino et al., *Minamata disease revisited: An update on the acute and chronic manifestations of methyl mercury poisoning*, 262 J. Neurological Sci. 131, 131 (2007), *available at* http://www.institute-of-mental-health.jp/thesis/pdf/thesis-02/thesis-02-18.pdf.

[21] *Id.*; Katsuyuki Murata et al., *Delayed Brainstem Auditory Evoked Potential Latencies in 14-Year-Old Children Exposed to Methylmercury*, 144 J. Pediatrics 177 (2004), *available at* https://www.quicksilverscientific.com/images/art/PDF/DELAYED.PDF.

[22] Plinio Carta et al., *Sub-clinical Neurobehavioral Abnormalities Associated with Low Level of Mercury Exposure Through Fish Consumption*, 24 NeuroToxicology 617 (2003), *available at* http://www.google.com/url?sa=t&rct=j&q=&esrc=s&source=web&cd=1&ved=0CB4QFjAA&url=http%3A%2F%2Fwww.researchgate.net%2Fprofile%2FRoberto_Lucchini%2Fpublication%2F6406322_Sub-clinical_neurobehavioral_abnormalities_associated_with_low_level_of_mercury_exposure_through_fish_consumption%2Flinks%2F0deec52d5c30b0b487000000.pdf&ei=T83iVJ7yEsLEggTG1oHYAg&usg=AFQjCNFczE2DPAfi6DTkOlPp9yfaSrjvgA&sig2=fIV-UlZ4oq6OvdTK_AGyug&bvm=bv.85970519,d.eXY.

[23] Ekino et al., *supra* note 20, at 131.

of mercury exposure damage the kidneys, liver, and immune systems in both adults and children.[24]

C. Other Metals from Power Plants Harm Human Health.

Power plants emit particles that contain metals besides mercury, including lead, arsenic, cadmium, nickel, and chromium. Lead damages the developing nervous system. Arsenic is a carcinogen and highly toxic. Nickel and chromium are associated with an increased risk of cancer.[25] While these metals are toxic on their own, their incorporation into airborne particulates increases risks – including the risk of death – posed by their inhalation.[26]

---

[24] *Toxicological Profile for Mercury*, U.S. Dep't of Health & Human Serv. (Mar. 1999), *available at* http://www.atsdr.cdc.gov/toxprofiles/tp46.pdf.

[25] Rachelle J. Beveridge, *Lung cancer risk associated with occupational exposure to nickel, chromium VI, and cadmium in two population-based case-control studies in Montreal*, 53 Am. J. of Indep. Med. 476 (2010), *available at* http://www.collectionscanada.gc.ca/obj/thesescanada/vol1/QMU/TC-QMU-2653.pdf; *see also* Juhua Luo et al., *Association Between Six Environmental Chemicals and Lung Cancer Incidence in the United States*, 2011 J. Envtl. & Pub. Health 1, 1, http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/jeph/2011/463701.pdf.

[26] Michelle L. Bell et al., *Hospital Admissions and Chemical Composition of Fine Particle Air Pollution*, 179 Am. J. Respiratory & Critical Care Med. 1115 (2009), *available at* http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1164/rccm.200808-1240OC; Shaowei Wu et al., *Blood Pressure Changes and Chemical Constituents of Particulate Air Pollution: Results from the Healthy Volunteer*

(Continued on following page)

D. Particulate Matter from Power Plants Injures People.

Power plants emit small particles less than 2.5 microns in diameter ($PM_{2.5}$), which can penetrate deep into the lungs. Power plants also emit gases such as sulfur dioxide (SO_2), oxides of nitrogen (NO_x), and organic compounds that react to form additional $PM_{2.5}$ in the atmosphere. Exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ is strongly linked to premature death.[27] Epidemiologic and other data associate $PM_{2.5}$ with premature mortality in infants and adults, systemic inflammation, altered vascular reactivity and cardiac rhythms, worsened asthma, chronic bronchitis, and other cardiopulmonary illnesses.[28] Chronic exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ increases the risk of dying from lung cancer and cardiovascular

---

Natural Relocation (HVNR) Study, 121 Envtl. Health Perspectives 66 (2013), *available at* http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/wp-content/uploads/121/1/ehp.1104812.pdf; *see also* Carta et al., *supra* note 22 at 617; K. Pasanen et al., *Mortality among population with exposure to industrial air pollution containing nickel and other toxic metals*, 54 J. Occupational Envtl. Med. 583 (2012), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22569477 (abstract).

[27] *Am. Farm Bureau Fed'n v. EPA*, 559 F.3d 512, 515-16, 527 (D.C. Cir. 2009); *Expanded Expert Judgment Assessment of the Concentration-Response Relationship Between PM2.5 Exposure and Mortality: Final Report*, Office of Air Quality Planning & Standards, EPA, 3-23, 3-24 (Sept. 21, 2006), *available at* http://www.epa.gov/ttn/ecas/regdata/Uncertainty/pm_ee_report.pdf [hereinafter Expanded Expert Judgment].

[28] *North Carolina v. Tenn. Valley Auth.*, 593 F. Supp. 2d 812, 821-22 (W.D.N.C. 2009); *see also* Expanded Expert Judgment, *supra* note 27.

disease.[29] Acute exposure increases the risk of death from respiratory and cardiovascular failure.[30]

$PM_{2.5}$ induces a number of biological processes that contribute to cardiovascular morbidity and other life-threatening diseases.[31] Systemic inflammation

---

[29] C. Arden Pope III et al., *Cardiovascular Mortality and Year-round Exposure to Particulate Air Pollution: Epidemiological Evidence of General Pathophysiological Pathways of Disease*, 109 Circulation 71 (2004), *available at* http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/109/1/71.full.pdf; *see also* C. Arden Pope III et al., *Lung Cancer, Cardiopulmonary Mortality, and Long-Term Exposure to Fine Particulate Air Pollution*, 297 J. Am. Med. Ass'n 1132 (2002), *available at* http://jama.jamanetwork.com/data/Journals/JAMA/4822/JOC11435.pdf.

[30] Meredith Franklin et al., *Association between $PM_{2.5}$ and all-cause and specific-cause mortality in 27 US communities*, 17 J. Exposure Sci. & Envtl. Epidemiology 279, 285 (2007), *available at* http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/clarc/pubs/endnote132-franklin.pdf; *see also* Yun-Chul Hong et al., *Effects of Air Pollutants on Acute Stroke Mortality*, 110 Envtl. Health Perspectives 187, 190 (2002), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1240734/pdf/ehp0110-000187.pdf; Cathryn Tonne et al., *A Case Control Analysis of Exposure to Traffic and Acute Myocardial Infarction*, 115 Envtl. Health Perspectives 53, 53 (2007), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1797833/pdf/ehp0115-000053.pdf.

[31] Antonella Zanobetti & Joel Schwartz, *The Effect of Particulate Air Pollution on Emergency Admissions for Myocardial Infarction: A Multicity Case-Crossover Analysis*, 113 Envtl. Health Perspectives 978 (2005), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1280336/pdf/ehp0113-000978.pdf; *see also* Daniela D'Ippoliti et al., *Air pollution and myocardial infarction in Rome: a case-crossover analysis*, 14 Epidemiology 528 (2003), *available at* http://www.researchgate.net/publication/9088068_Air_pollution_and_myocardial_infarction_in_Rome_a_case-crossover_analysis (abstract).

caused by $PM_{2.5}$ affects the vascular system[32] and can contribute to clots, heart attacks, or strokes.[33] Changes in vascular reactivity can alter the caliber of blood vessels and affect the amount of blood that flows to organs like the heart or brain.[34] $PM_{2.5}$ can also inhibit

---

[32] *See, e.g.*, Robert D. Brook et al., *Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Disease: A Statement for Healthcare Professionals from the Expert Panel on Population and Prevention Science of the American Heart Association*, 109 Circulation 2655, 2663-2665 (2004), *available at* http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/109/21/2655.full.pdf (describing physiological responses to pollution leading to mortality and morbidity); Jiu-Chiuan Chen & Joel Schwartz, *Metabolic Syndrome and Inflammatory Responses to Long Term Particulate Air Pollutants*, 116 Envtl. Health Perspectives 612, 616 (2008), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2367655/pdf/ehp0116-000612.pdf (finding inflammatory response associated with long-term exposure to particulate matter); Andrew J. Ghio et al., *Concentrated Ambient Air Particles Induce Mild Pulmonary Inflammation in Healthy Human Volunteers*, 162 Am. J. Respiratory & Critical Care Med. 981 (2000), *available at* http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1164/ajrccm.162.3.9911115 (finding airway inflammation induced in healthy volunteers after short-term exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ indicated risk for vascular events).

[33] Andrea Baccarelli et al., *Exposure to Particulate Air Pollution and Risk of Deep Vein Thrombosis*, 168 Archives of Internal Med. 920, 926 (2008), *available at* http://www.hsph.harvard.edu/clarc/pubs/endnote180-baccarelli.pdf (finding association between blood clots in legs and exposure to PM10); Andrew J. Ghio et al., *Exposure to Concentrated Ambient Air Particles Alters Hematologic Indices in Humans*, 15 Inhalation Toxicology 1465 (2003), *available at* http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08958370390249111%20 (abstract) (finding blood changes in healthy volunteers after PM exposure).

[34] Robert D. Brook et al., *Inhalation of Fine Particulate Air Pollution and Ozone Causes Acute Arterial Vasoconstriction in Healthy Adults*, 105 Circulation 1534, 1535 (2002), *available at*

(Continued on following page)

the body's ability to vary the heart rate in response to environmental or situational changes,[35] which can result in arrhythmia, the immediate cause of death for most heart attacks.[36] In fact, studies have linked short-term increases in PM to increased hospitalization for cardiovascular diseases.[37] $PM_{2.5}$ can also affect

---

http://circ.ahajournals.org/content/105/13/1534.full.pdf (finding vasoconstriction caused by short-term inhalation of $PM_{2.5}$ reflects risk for myocardial infarction, stroke, or other cardiovascular events); Miriam Lemos et al., *Chronic Exposure to Urban Air Pollution Induces Structural Alterations in Murine Pulmonary Coronary Arteries*, 18 Inhalation Toxicology 247 (2006), *available at* http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08958370500444247 (abstract) (finding mice exposed to PM and other traffic pollutants developed significant thickening of arterial wall).

[35] R.B. Devlin et al., *Elderly humans exposed to concentrated air pollution particles have decreased heart rate variability*, 21 European Respiratory J. 76s (Supp. 2003), *available at* http://erj.ersjournals.com/content/21/40_suppl/76s.full.pdf; Yuh-Chin Huang et al., *The Role of Soluble Components in Ambient Fine Particles-Induced Changes in Human Lungs and Blood*, 15 Inhalation Toxicology 327 (2003), *available at* http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08958370304460?journalCode=iht (abstract).

[36] Sverre Vedal et al., *Air Pollution and Cardiac Arrhythmias in Patients with Implantable Cardioverter Defibrilators*, 16 Inhalation Toxicology 252 (2004), *available at* http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08958370490439506%20 (abstract) (finding link between SO_2 exposure and implanted defibrillator activation).

[37] Francesca Dominici et al., *Fine Particulate Air Pollution and Hospital Admission for Cardiovascular and Respiratory Diseases*, 295 J. Am. Med. Assoc. 1127 (2006), *available at* http://jama.jamanetwork.com/data/Journals/JAMA/5015/JOC60023.pdf (finding increase in hospital admissions associated with $PM_{2.5}$); *see also* Shang-Shyue Tsai et al., *Evidence for an Association Between Air Pollution and Daily Stroke Admissions in Kaohsiung,*

(Continued on following page)

blood vessel reactivity,[38] and increases diastolic blood pressure.[39] Year-round exposure to PM can significantly damage the small airways of the lungs.[40] Recent studies have strengthened the conclusion that exposure to PM causes decreased lung function, even at levels below National Ambient Air Quality Standards,[41] and that improvement in air quality can improve lung function.[42]

Taiwan, 34 Stroke 2612 (2003), *available at* http://stroke.ahajournals.org/content/34/11/2612.full.pdf.

[38] Bruce Urch et al., *Relative Contributions of $PM_{2.5}$ Chemical Constituents to Acute Arterial Vasoconstriction in Humans*, 16 Inhalation Toxicology 345 (2004), *available at* http://informahealthcare.com/doi/abs/10.1080/08958370490439489?journalCode=iht (abstract) (finding exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ and ozone increased blood pressure).

[39] Bruce Urch et al., *Acute Blood Pressure Responses in Healthy Adults During Controlled Air Pollution Exposures*, 113 Envtl. Health Perspectives 1052, 1052 (2005), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1280348/pdf/ehp0113-001052.pdf.

[40] Andrew Churg et al., *Chronic Exposure to High Levels of Particulate Air Pollution and Small Airway Remodeling*, 111 Envtl. Health Perspectives 714 (2003), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1241480/pdf/ehp0111-000714.pdf.

[41] Sara H. Downs et al., *Reduced Exposure to PM10 and Attenuated Age-Related Decline in Lung Function*, 357 New Eng. J. Med. 2338, 2346 (2007), *available at* http://teamsofangels.org/publication/medical_journal_articles/NEJM_PM10_DOwns.pdf; James McCreanor et al., *Respiratory Effects of Exposure to Diesel Traffic in Persons with Asthma*, 357 New Eng. J. Med. 2348 (2007), *available at* http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMoa071535.

[42] Downs et al., *supra* note 41, at 2346 (concluding that relatively small reductions in particulate pollution could have measurable benefits for lung function).

Exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ also has non-morbid effects that are expensive, harmful, and inconvenient. It can aggravate asthma.[43] Asthma is a medical condition in which the smooth muscles of the bronchial wall tighten in response to stimulants, like allergens or pollutants, and it affects more than 8% of the U.S. population.[44] The onset is often sudden.[45] Affected children describe feeling like they are suffocating or "smothering."[46] Besides this painful suffering and inconvenience, untreated asthma can scar the lungs

---

[43] Verena Morgenstern et al., *Atopic Diseases, Allergic Sensitization, and Exposure to Traffic-Related Air Pollution in Children*, 177 Am. J. Respiratory & Critical Care Med. 1331 (2008), *available at* http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1164/rccm.200701-036OC (finding link between allergic sensitivity, including asthma, and $PM_{2.5}$ exposure).

[44] Peter B. Noble et al., *Airway smooth muscle in asthma: Linking contraction and mechanotransduction to disease pathogenesis and remodeling*, 29 Pulmonary Pharmacology & Therapeutics 96, 98 (2014), *available at* http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1094553914000868#; *Asthma Facts, CDC's National Asthma Control Program Grantees*, Department of Health and Human Services, Center for Disease Control, i (July 2013), *available at* http://www.cdc.gov/asthma/pdfs/asthma_facts_program_grantees.pdf.

[45] Janelle Yorke et al., *Assessment of Dyspnea in Asthma: Validation of the Dyspnea-12*, 48 J. Asthma 602 (2011), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3149863/pdf/nihms-312142.pdf.

[46] Andrew Harver et al., *Descriptors of Breathlessness in Children With Persistent Asthma*, 139 Chest J. 832, 834-35 (2011), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3071274/pdf/102388.pdf.

and bronchial tubes,[47] reducing lung function by as much as sixty percent.[48]

Reductions in $PM_{2.5}$ yield public health benefits.[49] A 2009 study explored the effect of improved air quality by comparing data on $PM_{2.5}$ pollution and life expectancy in fifty-one cities throughout the United States for two periods of time – from the late 1970s and early 1980s, and from the late 1990s to the early 2000s.[50] After controlling for socioeconomic, demographic, and social factors (like smoking), the study revealed that a decrease of 10 $\mu g/m^3$ of fine particulate matter was associated with an increase in life expectancy of six months to two years, and reductions in air pollution accounted for as much as 15% of the

---

[47] A.M. Vignola et al., *Structural consequences of airway inflammation in asthma*, 105 J. Allergy & Clinical Immunology S514 (Supp. 2000), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10669534 (abstract).

[48] Kian Fan Chung et al., *International ERS/ATS guidelines on definition, evaluation and treatment of severe asthma*, 43 Eur. Respiratory J. 343 (2014), *available at* http://erj.ersjournals.com/content/43/2/343.long.

[49] Joel Schwartz et al., *The Effect of Dose and Timing of Dose on the Association between Airborne Particles and Survival*, 116 Envtl. Health Perspectives 64, 67-68 (2008), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2199297/pdf/ehp0116-000064.pdf (finding no evidence of a threshold in the association between exposure to PM2.5 and the risk of death, suggesting that efforts to reduce particle concentrations as low as feasible is the most effective way to improve public health).

[50] C. Arden Pope III et al., *Fine Particulate Air Pollution and Life Expectancy in the United States*, 360 New Eng. J. Med. 371 (2009), *available at* http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMsa0805646.

overall increase in life expectancy seen in the study areas.[51] Other studies also show that reductions in air pollution could be expected to produce substantial improvements in public health.[52]

F. The Danger is Greater to Susceptible Populations, Including Pregnant Women and Fetuses.

Power plant emissions are especially dangerous to susceptible populations.[53] These susceptible populations

---

[51] *Id.*

[52] Robin C. Puett et al., *Chronic Particulate Exposure, Mortality and Coronary Heart Disease in the Nurses' Health Study*, 168 Am. J. Epidemiology 1161, 1167 (2008), *available at* http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/content/168/10/1161.full.pdf+html ("[O]ur findings add to a growing coherence of the literature across multiple time scales indicating that the public health benefits of reducing particle concentrations will be realized within years, not decades, of the reduction."); Antonella Zanobetti & Joel Schwartz, *The Effect of Fine and Coarse Particulate Air Pollution on Mortality: a National Analysis*, 117 Envtl. Health Perspectives 898, 902 (2009), *available at* http://ehp.niehs.nih.gov/wp-content/uploads/117/6/ehp.0800108.pdf (concluding that the strong association between particle pollution and deaths suggests that tens of thousands of early deaths per year could be avoided by reducing particle concentrations and recommending controls on power plants); Antonella Zanobetti et al., *Particulate air pollution and survival in a COPD cohort*, 7 Envtl. Health 48 (2008), *available at* http://www.ehjournal.net/content/pdf/1476-069X-7-48.pdf (concluding that results of study heightens urgency for pollution control measures because "reductions in air pollution should be followed quickly by improvements in public health").

[53] Parinaz Poursafa & Roya Kelishadi, *What health professionals should know about the health effects of air pollution and climate change on children and pregnant mothers*, 16 Iranian J.

(Continued on following page)

include pregnant women, fetuses, infants, children, the elderly, and people with pre-existing health conditions.[54] Constituents of power plant emissions can travel and impose harmful effects across large distances, and can have even greater effects locally.[55] Individuals who live near emission sources bear the brunt of the most concentrated emissions and those with special susceptibilities compose a large proportion of the overall population; thus, they represent a large proportion of those exposed to these emissions. Power plant emissions disproportionately cause morbidity and mortality in susceptible populations but also change the quality of life for susceptible individuals in ways that are difficult to quantify or monetize.[56] Poor air quality changes lifestyles.[57]

Asthma is the most common chronic childhood lung disease, and affects more than 9% of all U.S. children.[58] Emissions from power plants worsen

---

Nursing & Midwifery Research 257, *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3249808/?report=classic.

[54] *Id.*

[55] *Global Mercury Assessment, supra* note 16.

[56] Maureen R. Gwinn et al., *Meeting Report: Estimating the Benefits of Reducing Hazardous Air Pollutants – Summary of 2009 Workshop and Future Considerations*, 119 Envtl. Health Perspectives 125, 126-27 (2010), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3018491/pdf/ehp-119-125.pdf.

[57] *Asthma Facts*, *supra* note 44, at i.

[58] *Asthma Facts*, *supra* note 44, at i.

asthma.[59] Exposure of children to SO_2 is associated with active asthma and poor control of existing asthma.[60] Exposure to $PM_{2.5}$ can aggravate asthma.[61] Short-term increases in PM are linked to a rise in hospitalizations for children with asthma attacks.[62] Asthma is not the only lung disease exacerbated by power plant emissions. Individuals with other lung

---

[59] Committee of the Envtl. & Occupational Health Assembly of the Am. Thoracic Society, *Health effects of outdoor air pollution*, 153 Am. J. Respiratory & Critical Care Med. 3 (1996), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8542133 (abstract).

[60] Leylâ Deger et al., *Active and uncontrolled asthma among children exposed to air stack emissions of sulphur dioxide from petroleum refineries in Montreal, Quebec: A cross-sectional study*, 19 Can. Respiratory J. 97 (2012), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3373279/pdf/crj19097.pdf.

[61] Morgenstern et al., *supra* note 43.

[62] James C. Slaughter et al., *Effects of Ambient Air Pollution on Symptom Severity and Medication Use in Children with Asthma*, 91 Annals of Allergy, Asthma & Immunology 346 (Supp. 2003), *available at* http://pediatrics.aappublications.org/content/114/Supplement_1/535.2.full.pdf ($PM_{2.5}$ associated with aggravated asthma attacks); *see also* Shao Lin et al., *Childhood asthma hospitalization and residential exposure to state route traffic*, 88 Envtl. Research 73 (2002), *available at* http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0013935101943038 (abstract); Gary Norris et al., *An Association Between Fine Particles and Asthma Emergency Department Visits for Children in Seattle*, 107 Envtl. Health Perspectives 489 (1999), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1566574/pdf/envhper00511-0105.pdf; Paige E. Tolbert et al., *Air Quality and Pediatric Emergency Room Visits for Asthma in Atlanta, Georgia*, 151 Am. J. Epidemiology 798 (2000), *available at* http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/content/151/8/798.full.pdf.

diseases such as cystic fibrosis[63] or COPD[64] are also negatively affected by air pollutants. Infants face a 9% greater risk of bronchiolitis for each 10 $\mu g/m^3$ increase in $PM_{2.5}$.[65]

Power plant emissions can be particularly dangerous for normal growing fetuses as well as infants and children because emissions alter both overall and organ-specific growth and development: Pollutant effects are especially prominent in the brain and nervous system and the respiratory system.[66] Exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), which are emitted from power plants, is associated with smaller head size at birth and decreased height as a child grows.[67] Furthermore, exposure to power

---

[63] Christopher H. Goss et al., *Effect of Ambient Air Pollution on Pulmonary Exacerbations and Lung Function in Cystic Fibrosis*, 169 Am. J. Respiratory & Critical Care Med. 816 (2004), *available at* http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1164/rccm.200306-779OC.

[64] Faustini et al., *supra* note 12.

[65] Catherine Karr et al., *Effects of Subchronic Exposure to Ambient Air Pollutants on Infant Bronchiolitis*, 165 Am. J. Epidemiology 553, 557 (2007), *available at* http://aje.oxfordjournals.org/content/165/5/553.full.pdf.

[66] Sermin Genc et al., *The Adverse Effects of Air Pollution on the Nervous System*, 2012 J. Toxicology 1 (2012), http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/jt/2012/782462.pdf.

[67] Kinga Polanska et al., *Effect of Prenatal Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons Exposure on Birth Outcomes: The Polish Mother and Child Cohort Study*, 2014 BioMed Research Int'l 408939 (2014), http://downloads.hindawi.com/journals/bmri/2014/408939.pdf.

plant emissions that include SO_2 during the first trimester of pregnancy results in lower body mass in newborns.[68] Reducing or eliminating exposure to power plant emissions results in positive effects on neurocognitive development in children.[69] Mercury is particularly hazardous to infants and children, causing abnormal neurological development including brain damage, birth defects, diminished intelligence, and developmental delays.[70] Methylmercury can accumulate in a fetus's blood to a concentration higher than that in the mother.[71] Each year, 300,000 to 600,000 U.S. children are born with blood methylmercury levels that exceed the EPA reference dose

---

[68] Lucijan Mohorovic, *First two months of pregnancy – critical time for preterm delivery and low birthweight caused by adverse effects of coal combustion toxics*, 80 Early Human Dev. 115 (2004), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15500992.

[69] Deliang Tang et al., *Molecular and Neurodevelopmental Benefits to Children of Closure of a Coal Burning Power Plant in China*, 9 PLoS One e91966 (2014), http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3960155/pdf/pone.0091966.pdf.

[70] *Id.*; *see also* Margaret R. Karagas et al., *Evidence on the Human Health Effects of Low-Level Methylmercury Exposure*, 120 Envtl. Health Perspectives 799 (2012), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3385440/pdf/ehp.1104494.pdf; Leonardo Trasande et al., *Public Health and Economic Consequences of Methyl Mercury Toxicity to the Developing Brain*, 113 Envtl. Health Perspectives 590 (2005), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1257552/pdf/ehp0113-000590.pdf.

[71] *Toxicological Profile for Mercury*, *supra* note 24, at 179.

(the acceptable oral dose of a toxic substance).[72] Those children have more mercury in their blood than will permit healthy brain development as they grow.[73] Thus, those children's capacity to see, hear, move, feel, learn, and respond is compromised.[74] Reduced cognitive development can result in significant costs to society.[75] Accumulation of mercury in fish, coupled with the known developmental hazards of mercury exposure on fetal, infant, and child development, prompted both the Federal Drug Administration and

---

[72] Kathryn R. Mahaffey et al., *Blood Organic Mercury and Dietary Mercury Intake: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1999 and 2000,* 112 Envtl. Health Perspectives 562, 562 (2004), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC1241922/pdf/ehp0112-000562.pdf; Trasande et al., *supra* note 70, at 590.

[73] Trasande et al., *supra* note 70.

[74] Phillip W. Davidson et al., *Neurodevelopmental Effects of Maternal Nutritional Status and Exposure to Methylmercury from Eating Fish During Pregnancy*, 29 Neurotoxicology 767 (2008), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2580738/pdf/nihms72906.pdf; Kathryn R. Mahaffey et al., *Adult Women's Blood Mercury Concentrations Vary Regionally in the United States: Association with Patterns of Fish Consumption (NHANES 1999-2004)*, 117 Envtl. Health Perspectives 47 (2009), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2627864/pdf/EHP-117-47.pdf; Mahaffey et al., *supra* note 72; Trasande et al., *supra* note 70; *see also* Brooks B. Gump et al., *Fish Consumption, Low-Level Mercury, Lipids, and Inflammatory Markers in Children*, 112 Envtl. Research 204 (2012), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3267839/pdf/nihms335008.pdf.

[75] Trasande et al., *supra* note 70.

EPA to advise women of childbearing age to limit consumption of fish and to check local advisories.[76]

$PM_{2.5}$ adversely impacts lung growth and development.[77] Lung function increases normally during childhood until twenty to twenty-five years of age, when lung function peaks at the maximal capacity to breathe.[78] A pernicious effect of exposure to damaging air pollution is reduction in this peak lung function, which represents a loss of functional reserve.[79] Furthermore, lower peak lung function as an adult predisposes

---

[76] *What You Need to Know about Mercury in Fish and Shellfish*, EPA & FDA (2004), *available at* http://water.epa.gov/scitech/swguidance/fishshellfish/outreach/upload/2004_05_24_fish_MethylmercuryBrochure.pdf.

[77] *See, e.g.*, Thais Mauad et al., *Chronic Exposure to Ambient Levels of Urban Particles Affects Mouse Lung Development*, 178 Am. J. Respiratory & Critical Care Med. 721, 727 (2008), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC2556454/pdf/AJRCCM1787721.pdf (suggesting exposure to ambient levels of $PM_{2.5}$ and other urban pollutants may adversely impact lung growth and development).

[78] W. James Gauderman et al., *Association Between Air Pollution and Lung Function Growth in Southern California Children,* 162 Am. J. Respiratory & Critical Care Med. 1383, 1389 (2000), *available at* http://www.atsjournals.org/doi/pdf/10.1164/ajrccm.162.4.9909096; *see also* J. Dubnov et al., *Estimating the effect of air pollution from a coal-fired power station on the development of children's pulmonary function*, 103 Envtl. Research 87 (2006), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16618483 (abstract); B.F. Hwang et al., *Relationship between exposure to fine particulates and ozone and reduced lung function in children*, 137 Envtl. Research 382 (2015), *available at* http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25614339 (abstract).

[79] Gauderman et al., *supra* note 78.

the subject to a greater potential effect of lung disease later in life as lung function decreases with age.[80] Children exposed to NO_2 acids and $PM_{2.5}$ suffer diminished lung function growth.[81] Children who grow up in regions with high levels of NO_2 and PM air pollution have decreased lung function as adults.[82]

These widespread, serious adverse effects that power plant emissions impose on people, and the urgent public need for reduction in hazardous air pollutants and associated emissions form a backdrop against which EPA reasonably interpreted the phrase "appropriate and necessary" to allow it to not consider costs when deciding to include power plants among the categories of sources required to control emissions of hazardous air pollutants.

———♦———

[80] *Id.*

[81] *Id.*

[82] *Id.*

CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, the decision of the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit should be AFFIRMED.

Respectfully submitted this March 2, 2015,

ADAM BABICH
Counsel of Record
TULANE ENVIRONMENTAL
LAW CLINIC
TULANE LAW SCHOOL
6329 Freret Street
New Orleans, LA 70118
(504) 865-5789
ababich@tulane.edu
Counsel for Amicus Curiae
American Thoracic Society