

ORAL ARGUMENT REMOVED FROM CALENDAR

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT**

STATE OF NORTH DAKOTA, ET AL.,)	
)	
Petitioners,)	No. 15-1381 (and
)	consolidated cases)
v.)	
)	
UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL)	
PROTECTION AGENCY,)	
)	
Respondent.)	
_____)	

EPA’S STATUS REPORT

Pursuant to this Court’s order of April 28, 2017, Respondents United States Environmental Protection Agency et al. (EPA) hereby provide the Court with its scheduled 30-day status report.

1. These cases involve numerous consolidated petitions for judicial review of an EPA rule promulgated under section 111(b) of the Clean Air Act, 42 U.S.C. § 7411(b), entitled “Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units; Final Rule,” 80 Fed. Reg. 64,510 (Oct. 23, 2015), as well as a subsequent EPA action denying administrative petitions for reconsideration, “Reconsideration of Standards of Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions from New, Modified, and Reconstructed

Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units,” 81 Fed. Reg. 27,442 (May 6, 2016) (collectively, “the Rule” or “the 111(b) Rule”). Merits briefing concerning the judicial challenges to both EPA actions was completed on February 6, 2017, and the case was scheduled for oral argument on April 17, 2017. See, e.g., ECF No. 1667709. The oral argument was later removed from the calendar. ECF No. 1668612 (Order of March 30, 2017).

2. On March 28, 2017, the President of the United States issued an Executive Order establishing the policy of the United States that executive departments and agencies “immediately review existing regulations that potentially burden the development or use of domestically produced energy resources and appropriately suspend, revise, or rescind those that unduly burden the development of domestic energy resources beyond the degree necessary to protect the public interest or otherwise comply with the law.” Executive Order, “Promoting Energy Independence and Economic Growth,” § 1(c), 82 Fed. Reg. 16,093 (Mar. 28, 2017). With respect to the 111(b) Rule in particular, the Executive Order directs the Administrator of EPA to “immediately take all steps necessary” to review it for consistency with these and other policies set forth in the Order. Id. § 4. The Executive Order further instructs the agency to “if appropriate [and] as soon as practicable . . . publish for notice and comment proposed rules suspending, revising, or rescinding” the 111(b) Rule. Id.

3. In accordance with the Executive Order and his authority under the Clean Air Act, the EPA Administrator signed a Federal Register notice on March 28, 2017, announcing EPA's review of the 111(b) Rule and noting that if EPA's review "concludes that suspension, revision or rescission of [the 111(b) Rule] may be appropriate, EPA's review will be followed by a rulemaking process that will be transparent, follow proper administrative procedures, include appropriate engagement with the public, employ sound science, and be firmly grounded in the law." "Review of the Clean Power Plan," 82 Fed. Reg. 16,329, 16,330 (Apr. 4, 2017).

4. Based on these significant developments, EPA filed a motion on March 28, 2017 to hold these cases in abeyance pending completion of EPA's review and any resulting forthcoming rulemaking. ECF No. 1668276. By order dated April 28, 2017, this Court held the cases in abeyance for 60 days and directed EPA to file status reports at 30-day intervals from the date of the order. ECF No. 1673072. The Court further directed the parties to file supplemental briefs by May 15, 2017, addressing "whether these consolidated cases should be remanded to the agency rather than held in abeyance." Id. EPA timely submitted its supplemental brief and advocated continuing to hold these cases in abeyance for the reasons explained therein. ECF No. 1675253.

5. At this time, EPA continues to review the 111(b) Rule, as required under the Executive Order. EPA will update the Court as it takes further steps. As set forth in EPA's March 28, 2017 Motion to Hold Cases in Abeyance (ECF No. 1668276) and May 15, 2017 Supplemental Brief in Support of Abeyance (ECF No. 1675253), EPA believes these cases should remain in abeyance pending the conclusion of EPA's review of the Rule and any resulting forthcoming rulemaking.

Respectfully submitted,

ERIC GRANT
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

DATED: May 30, 2017

BY: /s/ Brian H. Lynk
BRIAN H. LYNK
CHLOE H. KOLMAN
U.S. Department of Justice
Environmental Defense Section
P.O. Box 7611
Washington, D.C. 20044
Phone: (202) 514-6187
Email: brian.lynk@usdoj.gov

Of Counsel:

Lorie Schmidt
Elliott Zenick
Scott J. Jordan
United States Environmental
Protection Agency
Office of General Counsel
1200 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing EPA's Status Report have been served through the Court's CM/ECF system on all registered counsel this 30th day of May, 2017.

/s/ Brian H. Lynk

Counsel for Respondent