IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA CIRCUIT

CLEAN AIR COUNCIL, EARTHWORKS. ENVIRONMENTAL DEFENSE FUND, ENVIRONMENTAL INTEGRITY PROJECT, NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, and SIERRA CLUB,

Petitioners,

v.

Case No. 17-1145

SCOTT PRUITT, Administrator, UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL **PROTECTION AGENCY**, and UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL **PROTECTION AGENCY**,

Respondents.

MOTION TO INTERVENE IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENTS

The States of West Virginia, Alabama, Kansas, Louisiana, Montana, Ohio, Oklahoma, South Carolina. Wisconsin, Commonwealth of Kentucky, Commonwealth of Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet, and Attorney General Bill Schuette for the People of Michigan ("State Intervenors"), respectfully move to intervene in support of respondents Scott Pruitt, Administrator, United States Environmental Protection Agency ("EPA") and EPA in Case Number 17-1145 concerning EPA's administrative stay of the rule entitled "Oil and Natural Gas Sector: Emission Standards for New, Reconstructed, and Modified Sources," 81 Fed. Reg. 35,824 (June 3, 2016). Petitioners have indicated that they take no position on motions to intervene provided that, should intervenors intend to respond in opposition to Petitioners' emergency motion, they file a joint response on the same schedule as the Court set for Respondents. Respondents do not oppose this motion to intervene.

Intervention should be permitted because the movants are "directly affected by" the administrative stay. Yakima Valley Cablevision, Inc. v. FCC, 794 F.2d 737, 744–45 (D.C. Cir. 1986). The States have challenged the rule as in excess of EPA's statutory authority and otherwise arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion and not in accordance with law. West Virginia v. EPA, No. 16-1264 (D.C. Cir. filed Aug. 2, 2016). The rule is a legal prerequisite to any rule that might be issued by EPA under Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act that would regulate existing oil and gas sector operations, which indisputably injures the States. Such rule under Section 111(d) would clearly harm the States, as they must formulate a state plan or submit to a federal plan. See West Virginia v. EPA, 362 F.3d 861, 868 (D.C. Cir. 2004). The rule harms State Intervenors' sovereignty by infringing on their authority over intrastate energy production and regulation. The rule also injures State Intervenors' quasi-sovereign interests in the value of their natural resources. Accordingly, the States are directly affected by EPA's decision to stay the rule and suspend the harm to the States pending reconsideration.

The present case also raises questions about EPA's authority to reconsider and administratively stay rules that directly affect the States. The litigation concerns whether EPA can prevent unlawful and harmful rules from taking effect during its reconsideration with implications for various other Clean Air Act rules in which the States also have an interest. *See, e.g.*, "Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units," 80 Fed. Reg. 64,662 (Oct. 23, 2015); "Standards for Performance for Greenhouse Gas Emissions From New, Modified, and Reconstructed Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units," 80 Fed. Reg. 64,510 (Oct. 23, 2015); *see also, West Virginia v. EPA*, No. 15-1363 (and consolidated cases) (D.C. Cir.); North Dakota v. EPA, No. 15-1381 (and consolidated cases) (D.C. Cir.).

This motion is "timely," *Yakima Valley Cablevision*, 794 F.2d at 744, under Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 15(d) because it was filed within 30 days after the June 5, 2017 filing of the petition for review, ECF No. 1678132.

Finally, in the event that the Court grants this motion to intervene, State Intervenors note that they agree with the arguments raised in Intervenors American Petroleum Institute, et al.'s Response in Opposition to Petitioners' Emergency Motion and respectfully request that the emergency motion be denied, for the reasons stated therein. Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.</u> Patrick Morrisey Attorney General of West Virginia Elbert Lin Solicitor General Thomas M. Johnson, Jr. Deputy Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* Erica N. Peterson Assistant Attorney General State Capitol Building 1, Room 26-E Tel. (304) 558-2021 Fax (304) 558-0140 Email: tjohnson@wvago.gov *Counsel for the State of West Virginia*

Page 5 of 9

/s/ Andrew Brasher Steve Marshall ATTORNEY GENERAL OF ALABAMA Andrew Brasher Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* 501 Washington Avenue Montgomery, AL 36130 Tel: (334) 353-2609 abrasher@ago.state.al.us

Counsel for Petitioner State of Alabama

<u>/s/ Jeffrey A. Chanay</u> Derek Schmidt ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KANSAS Jeffrey A. Chanay Chief Deputy Attorney General *Counsel of Record* Bryan C. Clark Assistant Solicitor General 120 S.W. 10th Avenue, 3rd Floor Topeka, KS 66612 Tel: (785) 368-8435 Fax: (785) 291-3767 jeff.chanay@ag.ks.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Kansas

<u>/s/ Samuel Flynn</u> Andy Beshear ATTORNEY GENERAL OF KENTUCKY Samuel Flynn Assistant Attorney General *Counsel of Record* 700 Capital Avenue Suite 118 Frankfort, KY 40601 Tel: (502) 696-5611 Samuel.Flynn@ky.gov

Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth of Kentucky

/s/ Elizabeth Murill Jeff Landry ATTORNEY GENERAL OF LOUISIANA Elizabeth B. Murill Solicitor General Counsel of Record Steven B. "Beaux" Jones Assistant Attorney General Environmental Section - Civil Division 1885 N. Third Street Baton Rouge, LA 70804 Tel: (225) 326-6085 Fax: (225) 326-6099 MurrillE@ag.louisiana.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Louisiana

/s/ Dale Schowengerdt Timothy C. Fox ATTORNEY GENERAL OF MONTANA Dale Schowengerdt Solicitor General Counsel of Record **215 North Sanders** Helena, MT 59620-1401 Tel: (406) 444-7008 dales@mt.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Montana

/s/ Aaron D. Lindstrom

Bill Schuette ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE PEOPLE OF MICHIGAN Aaron D. Lindstrom Michigan Solicitor General Counsel of Record P.O. Box 30212 Lansing, MI 48909 Tel: (515) 373-1124 Fax: (517) 373-3042 lindstroma@michigan.gov

Counsel for Petitioner Attorney General Bill Schuette for the People of Michigan

/s/ Eric E. Murphy

Michael DeWine ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OHIO Eric E. Murphy State Solicitor Counsel of Record 30 E. Broad Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Tel: (614) 466-8980 eric.murphy@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Ohio

<u>/s/ P. Clayton Eubanks</u> Mike Hunter ATTORNEY GENERAL OF OKLAHOMA P. Clayton Eubanks Deputy Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* Oklahoma Office of the Attorney General 313 N.E. 21st Street Oklahoma City, OK 73105 Tel: (405) 521-3921 Fax: (405) 522-0608 clayton.eubanks@oag.ok.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of Oklahoma

<u>/s/ Misha Tseytlin</u> Brad Schimel ATTORNEY GENERAL OF WISCONSIN Misha Tseytlin Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* Delanie M. Breuer Assistant Deputy Attorney General Wisconsin Department of Justice 17 West Main Street Madison, WI 53707 Tel: (608) 267-9323 tseytlinm@doj.state.wi.us

Counsel for Petitioner State of Wisconsin

<u>/s/ James Emory Smith, Jr.</u> Alan Wilson ATTORNEY GENERAL OF SOUTH CAROLINA Robert D. Cook Solicitor General James Emory Smith, Jr. Deputy Solicitor General *Counsel of Record* P.O. Box 11549 Columbia, SC 29211 Tel: (803) 734-3680 Fax: (803) 734-3677 esmith@scag.gov

Counsel for Petitioner State of South Carolina

/s/ Jacquelyn A. Quarles Charles G. Snavely SECRETARY. **COMMONWEALTH OF KENTUCKY ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT CABINET** John G. Horne, II General Counsel Office of General Counsel Jacquelyn A. Quarles **Deputy General Counsel** Office of General Counsel Counsel of Record 300 Sower Blvd., 3rd Floor Frankfort, KY 40601 Tel: (502) 782-7043 Jackie.Quarles@ky.gov

Counsel for Petitioner Commonwealth Kentucky Energy and Environment Cabinet

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

Pursuant to Rules 27(d)(2) and 32(g) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and Circuit Rules 32(a)(1) and 32(e)(1), I hereby certify that the foregoing document contains 548 words, as counted by a word processing system that includes headings, footnotes, quotations, and citations in the count, and therefore is within the word limits set by the Court.

Dated: June 15, 2017

<u>/s/ Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.</u> Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on this 15th day of June 2017, a copy of the foregoing Motion to Intervene in Support of Respondents was served electronically through the Court's CM/ECF system on all ECF-registered counsel.

> <u>/s/ Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.</u> Thomas M. Johnson, Jr.